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Introduction

During a two-year period, from December 2017 to February 2020, researchers from Stony Brook University and Adelphi University interviewed library staff at randomly-selected public libraries throughout Long Island to gather information about the breadth and scope of the health and social support needs of library patrons. They also sought to learn about library staff members’ ability to address these needs and their level of preparedness to do so, how staff make decisions about types of programming offered, and what additional resources libraries need to improve the health of their communities. Increasingly, empirical evidence points to the key role that public libraries play in delivering some of the health and social support services an individual requires to live his/her best life. Public libraries are invaluable community health partners, especially in socioeconomically-distressed neighborhoods.

Social determinants of health – those factors outside of medicine that influence an individual’s health – account for nearly 80 percent of health outcomes, according to a growing body of public health and medical research. These factors include education, poverty, access to
transportation, safe and affordable housing, health insurance coverage, and access to nutritious and affordable foods, among others. Increasingly, it is these needs that public libraries often address in their community programming. In higher need communities, some libraries retain a full-time social worker. Others opt for part-time or per diem social workers to assist with meeting community health and social service needs.

Researchers found that there was a difference between the needs and program offerings based on the socioeconomic status of the neighborhood in which the library is located. Higher need communities (generally located in lower-income areas) sought programs assisting with more basic social service needs (such as unemployment, food scarcity, tech literacy, etc.) while in lower need communities (generally located in higher-income neighborhoods) patrons sought more enrichment assistance (such as cooking classes, art programs, etc.). But overall, when it
came to health needs, concerns related to mental health/substance misuse, heart disease/diabetes, and cancer were consistent themes in most libraries.

The research began when the New York State 2013 – 2018 Prevention Agenda and its priorities were in effect and so coding reflected themes embedded in that version of the state’s Prevention Agenda, as well as the Kaiser Family Foundation social determinants of health rubric.

The research occurred prior to the start of the coronavirus pandemic, which was declared a national emergency on March 13, 2020. Library programming came to a halt as libraries were ordered to close before re-opening some months later for virtual programming only. The pandemic exacerbated the inequities in our social and health systems, and libraries, which had been an accessible resource for many communities, were shutdown perhaps at a time when they were needed the most. On June 24, 2021, New York State’s declaration of emergency was halted and many pandemic restrictions were lifted. As of this writing (July 2021), the federal public health emergency declaration remains in effect. Many of the region’s libraries have re-opened but with limited in-person services.

### Social Determinants of Health

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economic Stability</th>
<th>Neighborhood and Physical Environment</th>
<th>Education</th>
<th>Food</th>
<th>Community and Social Context</th>
<th>Health Care System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>Literacy</td>
<td>Hunger</td>
<td>Social integration</td>
<td>Health coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>Language</td>
<td>Access to healthy options</td>
<td>Support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses</td>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>Early childhood education</td>
<td>Social integration</td>
<td>availability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt</td>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Vocational training</td>
<td>Community engagement</td>
<td>Provider</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical bills</td>
<td>Playgrounds</td>
<td>Higher education</td>
<td>Discrimination</td>
<td>linguistic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support</td>
<td>Walkability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>competency</td>
<td>cultural</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Health Outcomes
Mortality, Mobidity, Life Expectancy, Health Care Expenditures, Health Status, Functional Limitations
There are 113 public libraries on Long Island. Of these, 18 libraries in Suffolk County (from 26 randomly selected) and 14 libraries in Nassau County (from 27 randomly selected) consented to participate in the qualitative research study.

The Long Island Libraries Qualitative Research project grew out of a similar project that occurred among the public library system of Philadelphia known as the Free Library of Philadelphia. Investigators at the University of Pennsylvania published results of their research in *Health Affairs* and this caught the attention of the Long Island Health Collaborative and its academic partners. After reading the article “Beyond Books: Public Libraries as Partners for Public Health,” Long Island researchers reached out to investigators at the University of Pennsylvania to learn more about the Philadelphia project. After sharing ideas, the Long Island researchers collaborated with the team at University of Pennsylvania, approved by the University of Pennsylvania’s Institutional Review Board (IRB), to conduct interviews among Long Island public librarians and staff.

**Selection and Recruitment Methods**

The Long Island Health Collaborative staff worked with the researchers to develop a recruitment strategy that began with ensuring that a representative sample of public libraries was achieved. After a complete list of libraries was verified by the Nassau Library System and the Suffolk County Cooperative Library System each public library was sorted by zip code/location. Several towns had more than one zip code but only one library, and several different library locations were located within the same zip code. Researchers accommodated this by developing a selection process that (1) eliminated zip codes without library locations, and (2) included all libraries in the selection process, despite having multiple branches or more than one library in a single zip code.

Using the demographic factors pulled from 2014 American Community Survey, libraries were then sorted by county and categorized into need levels from “low-need” to “high-need” by the following demographic factors:

- **Education** – percentage of high school graduates or higher in the population that are 25 years and over and percentage of bachelor’s degree or higher in the population that are 25 years and over.
- **Language** – percentage who speak only English
- **Unemployment** – unemployment rate for population 16 years and over
- **Poverty status** – percentage below poverty level (estimate) and population for whom poverty status is determined
- **Public assistance** – percentage of households with cash public assistance or food stamps/snap for the past 12 months
- **Income** – median household income (dollars)
• **Foreign born residents** – percentage of foreign born

Each demographic factor received a county score by using an inverse average formula used for: unemployment, poverty assistance, public assistance and foreign born and an average score determined for each zip code using the average of all demographic scores. Libraries were then sorted into need categories from highest need to lowest need. The top 20 percent of libraries were determined to be located in a “high need” area (quintile 5) and the bottom 20 percent of libraries were determined to be located in a “low need” area (Quintile 1). All other library locations were categorized as either “moderate high need,” “moderate need,” or “moderate low need” communities. (Appendix A) As a reference, there were 11 locations in Suffolk and 9 locations in Nassau that were categorized as high-need communities.

After the list of public libraries in each county was organized into “need” categories, the team used a simple block randomization strategy to select 50 percent of those in each category for an invitation to participate in the study. Using this method, on average there were five libraries from each quintile that were randomly selected to be recruited for participation in this study. The randomly selected list of libraries was sent to the outreach directors at the Suffolk Cooperative Library System and the Nassau Library System who then sent an email notification to each of the library directors from the selected list to inform them of the research project and encourage them to participate. Library directors were then contacted by the Long Island Health Collaborative for a more in-depth explanation of the research project, invite their participation, and to schedule the interview. Three attempts to connect (one email and two phone follow-ups) were made.

**Interview Process**

Total interview time lasted from 1.5 to 2 hours, including time for further project explanation and signing informed consent documents. Interviews were audio recorded. The goal was to interview three staffers at each library – always the library director and then such staff members as front desk clerk, reference librarian, security officer, and custodian. Directors chose the staff members. Interviewees were given a participant number to ensure anonymity and confidentiality. Letters were assigned to each of the libraries to ensure facility anonymity. The interviewers used a standardized set of questions and prompts so that there was consistency in the themes explored across each site. Interview recordings were uploaded to a secure HIPAA-compliant website approved by the University of Pennsylvania’s IRB and an IRB-approved transcription service transcribed each interview into a separate word file for each interview. A total of 96 interviews were completed.
Coding and Data Analysis

The transcribed interviews were reviewed by researchers at Stony Brook, and they trained and supervised a team of four research assistants to create a coding scheme for all of the interview files for both counties. The transcribed interviews were coded based on themes that emerged from the interviews across sites using a qualitative analyses software (DeDoose) licensed to Stony Brook’s Program in Public Health. The analyses resulted in a robust coding schema with 11 categories and many subthemes within each category. A summary of primary findings is summarized below, and a peer-reviewed publication of more in-depth findings is expected to be available within the year (currently under review by a scholarly journal with LIHC included as a co-author). Once the journal publication of the more in-depth analyses is available for release, we will share it with all LIHC partners.

The overarching questions that were used to motivate the data analyses were:

(1) What is the knowledge of library staff about the social support and health needs of their patrons?
   - What do the staff think are the most pressing health needs of the community they serve?
   - What do the staff think are the most pressing social support needs of the community they serve?

(2) What do library staff feel about addressing the health/social support needs of their patrons?

(3) How do libraries address the social determinants of health, if at all?
   - What do staff at libraries think is lacking in terms of addressing the social determinants of health in their library?
   - What do library staff wish they could do to address the social support and behavioral health needs of their community?

(4) How do libraries make decisions about how to invest in their services?

(5) How do libraries define and prevent/address/manage/respond to/resolve disturbances in the libraries?

Summary of Findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Top 5 identified health needs</th>
<th>Top 5 identified social needs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health</td>
<td>Homelessness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>Technology Literacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet</td>
<td>ESL/LOTE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opioid Use</td>
<td>Unemployment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Health</td>
<td>Food</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Differences in types of programming were identified and there were some trends that higher need communities tended to have programs focused on social service needs, such as assistance with unemployment, access to economic stability support services, hunger solutions, homelessness, ESL/LOTE classes, health insurance assistance and technology literacy. Programs in lower need communities tended to have programs focused on enrichment, such as cooking classes, adult art, yoga, and other wellness opportunities to address loneliness. The moderate-need communities tended to have a mix of programs. The emphasis on social support programs in high-need communities is consistent with the health disparities and inequities individuals in these communities face. This finding, in particular, confirms the key role behavioral and social determinants of health play in health outcomes.

The health topics most likely to be the focus of library programs included exercise, access to health insurance (which is also a social support need), information about diet/nutrition, mental health, and Alzheimer’s Disease/Dementia.

**Usefulness of Research**

Decisions about programs in libraries are largely based on community interests, access to content experts to deliver the programs at low or no cost to patrons, and scheduling. Interviewees’ responses reflect the needs of the communities served by the libraries. The findings from the Long Island Libraries Qualitative Research project can be used to inform future health and social support service programming offered by libraries, including resource and staff allocation. This is also true of the partnering organizations with which many libraries work, such as the local hospital and health department, and the many community-based organizations that bring health and social support service programming to libraries.

In conjunction with the Long Island Qualitative Research project, graduate students from the Stony Brook University Program in Public Health and undergraduate students from the Hofstra University Community Health Degree program mapped the health and social support service programming at all of Long Island’s libraries. Their efforts produced two interactive layered maps – one for use by researchers and one for the public’s use. The latter map includes convenient links to library websites. The students reviewed data from 2016-2018 by analyzing publicly accessible newsletters, calendars, pamphlets, flyers, and websites. Content analysis was conducted for every program and coded by social determinants of health and Prevention Agenda (2013-2018) Priority Health topics and results were entered into an Excel spreadsheet.

**Further Study**

As this research was conducted prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, it would be helpful to conduct a limited follow-up study asking specific questions related to how libraries responded to
community needs during the pandemic. Libraries pivoted to virtual programming. It is likely this new mode of delivery had an effect (positive or negative) on the scope and breadth of programs and community members’ access to such programming. Results from such a follow-up could also be compared to the current study results to determine the change in volume and type of programming offered before, during, and after the pandemic.
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